Student Disciplinary Procedure (Reporter)

Effective from 1 September 2024

This procedure is applicable to **all registered** students and should be read in conjunction with the <u>Student Disciplinary Policy</u> and <u>any relevant investigation protocol</u>. Reports against admitted students not currently registered at the University will be investigated at the discretion of the Academic Registrar.

This document sets out what a Student Responder can expect if they are the subject of a disciplinary investigation.

If you are a Student Reporter – i.e. you would like to make a report of misconduct about another student - we recommend you read <u>a different version of this procedure</u> which will outline what you can expect to happen once you make your report.

Please note that the University **does not** seek to address minor disputes or disagreements between students.

Introduction

Newcastle University has a <u>Student Charter</u> that sets out the University's expectations for the standards of conduct of ALL of its students. These behavioural values are essential to the proper functioning of an academic community and include:

- Behaving in a responsible manner that will foster mutual respect and understanding between all members of the University community;
- Complying with all reasonable requests from University employees;
- Being considerate to neighbours and members of the wider community, especially in relation to noise levels and rubbish;
- Acting within the law.

The Student Disciplinary Procedure is used to investigate all reports of <u>non-academic misconduct</u> made against a student.

It is not used for:

- Academic misconduct (i.e. cheating). Reports of academic misconduct are investigated under the Student Academic Misconduct Procedure.
- Complaints regarding the actions of a University employee. Concerns about the behaviour of any University employee should be raised via the <u>Student Complaints and Resolution Procedure.</u>

The Student Disciplinary Procedure includes five investigation protocols that give more information on how the following specific misconduct categories will be investigated by the University:

- Discrimination and Hate-Related Misconduct;
- Sexual Misconduct;
- Antisocial Behaviour and Noise Nuisance;

- Dangerous Behaviours at Organised Student Socials (including dangerous initiations);
- Safeguarding Issues Involving Drugs.

Support and Guidance

We understand that being involved in disciplinary proceedings can be a stressful experience. You may find it helpful to seek support and advice via the following services:

- Student Health and Wellbeing Service
- <u>Student Progress Service</u>
- Student Advice Centre of the Students' Union

If you are required to attend any meetings throughout this process, you may choose to be accompanied by a friend or supporter. We strongly encourage you to access this support.

Please see the <u>guidance on the role of a friend or supporter</u> which outlines the role your friend or supporter may take in any proceedings. Your friend or supporter may not represent you, answer questions on your behalf or cross-examine witnesses, but may be asked to contribute a statement if appropriate.

Terminology

The Academic Registrar, or nominee, is a senior member of staff in the University with responsibility for considering and determining reports of student misconduct.

Authorised Person: an employee of the University who is authorised to undertake disciplinary investigations. This is usually a Head of School or Accommodation Manager for Level 1 cases, and a member of the Student Progress Service for Level 2 cases. The Authorised Person may nominate another senior colleague to act on their behalf.

A Case Officer: is a member of the Student Progress Service authorised to undertake Level 2 student disciplinary investigations on behalf of the University.

Disciplinary Appeal Committee: a Committee made up of two independent senior University employees, appointed by the University Disciplinary Convenor and who were not involved in the original investigation, and a Students' Union Sabbatical Officer, whose role is to assess an appeal against a Disciplinary decision or sanction.

Disciplinary Convenor: A senior academic employee appointed by the University Education Committee (UEC) to convene Student Disciplinary and Disciplinary Appeal Committee hearings. The Disciplinary Convenor is supported by a Deputy Disciplinary Convenor.

Sanction: a penalty that is imposed on a student when it is decided that there has been behavioural misconduct. See Appendix 1 for a list of examples of misconduct and their indicative sanctions.

Student Disciplinary Committee: a Committee made up of one or three impartial senior University

employees, chosen by the University Disciplinary Convenor, whose role is to assess evidence presented to them and decide on an outcome and any related sanctions. Members of Student Disciplinary Committees are appointed by the University Education Committee and undergo extensive training on numerous topics relating to disciplinary cases.

The Student Progress Service, is the service appointed by the Academic Registrar to act on the Academic Registrar's behalf, with the Director of Service and Case Officers being responsible for undertaking formal Level 2 investigations into all reports of student misconduct both on and off campus and reporting any Level 3 cases at the Student Disciplinary Committee, convened to hear the case.

Student Reporter: a student who makes a report of misconduct about another student.

Student Responder: the student who is the subject of a report of misconduct.

Student Disciplinary Procedure

There are three levels at which a disciplinary decision may be considered:

• Level 1: Local Resolution

Most reports of minor misconduct are dealt with locally. An Authorised Person in the Student Responder's School, service or residence may investigate the reported circumstances and decide on appropriate action to be taken.

• Level 2: Formal Investigation

Where reports of misconduct are not minor, there is repeated or persistent misconduct, or there has been a lack of engagement with the Level 1 process, a formal investigation will take place. A Case Officer will be appointed from the Student Progress Service to investigate the case and the outcome will be determined by the Academic Registrar after consideration of all the available evidence.

• Level 3: Student Disciplinary Committee

Where the Academic Registrar determines that a case is sufficiently serious or complex, the case will be referred to a Student Disciplinary Committee for further consideration.

When you make a report of misconduct about a registered student, it may be investigated and determined at any level, it does not necessarily need to progress through each of the levels in turn, and the case can be forwarded to a higher level if the Authorised Person feels it would be more appropriate.

To ensure there is no conflict of interest, each disciplinary situation is handled by an Authorised Person or Case Officer who has no prior involvement in the case.

What happens when you make a formal Report to the Student Progress Service?

When you make a report of misconduct against another student or students, a Case Officer will be appointed to the case; their role will be to investigate the report.

You will be provided with a named contact in the Student Progress Service, who will liaise with you throughout the process, answer any questions you may have about the procedure, and keep you informed about the progress of the case. This is separate from any support provided by the Student Health and Wellbeing Service.

If you are disabled or have any additional support needs, you can request reasonable adjustments or other arrangements and the University will seek to put in place for you while your disciplinary case is being considered.

You may wish to speak to your personal tutor/supervisor about submitting a <u>Personal Extenuating</u> <u>Circumstances</u> form for consideration if you feel your studies may have been/may be adversely affected by the Disciplinary investigation.

You will be given an opportunity to provide a written statement to the University to explain the circumstances of the report. This statement and any accompanying evidence will be shared with the Student Responder and any friend or supporter they choose to accompany them to any formal meeting. While we understand that this may be distressing for you, it is necessary for them to be aware of the full details of the report we are asking them to respond to, so that an investigation can be carried out fairly and in line with the principles of natural justice. (For more information, see the section on *Confidentiality* below)

You may be invited to attend a meeting to discuss the details of the report, provide clarification, and for relevant facts and evidence to be gathered. You may bring a friend or supporter along to the meeting with you, as outlined in the *Support* section.

If you don't wish to attend a meeting, you can submit a statement for consideration in any disciplinary case, or you can ask for your initial report/Police Disclosure to be investigated without your further involvement.

Notes will be taken of any meetings you are asked to attend, but these will not be word for word, and meetings will not be recorded. You will be provided with a copy of the notes of any meetings you attend and these notes can be used as your report if your case is referred to a Student Disciplinary Committee or Appeal Committees for further consideration.

During, or at the conclusion of a disciplinary case, whether misconduct has been established or not, the University may decide to place an instruction on the Student Responder, where appropriate. Possible instructions include:

- No direct or indirect contact with you or any witnesses, by any means including in-person, email, telephone, text message, via third parties or on any social media platforms, where possible (you and any witnesses would normally be asked to abide by the same instruction);
- Avoidance of certain areas or activities, including Students' Union Clubs and Societies;
- Imposition of restrictions on the Student Responder's timetable;
- Imposition of restrictions on movement within University residences.

Level 1: Local Resolution

Most reports of minor misconduct are considered and determined by an Authorised Person in the Student Responder's School, service or residence.

After considering all the evidence available to them, the Authorised Person will decide on an appropriate outcome, which may include minor sanctions being imposed on the Student Responder (see <u>Appendix 1</u>).

You will be informed, in writing, of the outcome of your case by the Authorised Person and will be given details of how to appeal any decisions you are dissatisfied with.

Level 2: Formal Investigation

Your case will be investigated under Level 2 of the procedure where:

- You have contacted the Student Progress Service directly about your circumstances;
- An Authorised Person asks for a Level 2 formal investigation to take place, because the reports of misconduct are more serious than initially understood;
- There has been repeated or persistent misconduct; or
- The Student Responder has not engaged fully with the Level 1 process.

A Case Officer will be appointed to investigate the case and the outcome (including any sanctions for the Student Responder) will be determined by the Academic Registrar after consideration of all the available evidence.

When the case has been determined, you will be informed in writing whether misconduct was established, whether any sanctions were imposed, whether any precautionary actions (such as no-contact instructions) are to remain in place, and whether the Responder remains a registered student. You will also be given details of how to appeal any decisions you are dissatisfied with.

Where the Academic Registrar decides that the reported misconduct is sufficiently serious or complex, the case will be referred to a Student Disciplinary Committee instead, to be considered under Level 3 of this procedure.

Level 3: Student Disciplinary Committee

For more complex and/or very serious reports of misconduct, the Responder, as the subject of the reported case will be invited to attend a Student Disciplinary Committee for the case to be investigated by way of a panel hearing.

As a student reporter, you will be informed in writing if the disciplinary case is being referred to a Student Disciplinary Committee, and you will be invited to attend the hearing as a witness to the event to present your own evidence and answer any further questions by Committee members.

You will be notified in writing of the constitution of the Student Disciplinary Committee. If either you or the Student Responder have any concerns about the impartiality of any member of the Student Disciplinary Committee you can request a review of the Committee membership by emailing the Director of the Student Progress Service within 5 working days of being informed of the Committee membership.

If you choose to attend the Student Disciplinary Committee hearing to make your statement and answer questions, you will be provided with relevant documentation in advance. If you choose not to attend, you will still have the opportunity to submit an additional statement for consideration by the Committee.

If you would like to request any witnesses to be called in support of your report, you need to do this at least 5 working days in advance of the Student Disciplinary Committee hearing by notifying the Committee Secretary. The Chair of the Committee may then request the presence of witnesses, but is unable to compel their attendance.

If either you or your supporting person are unable to attend the scheduled date of the Student Disciplinary Committee hearing, you may request for it to be rescheduled, as can the Student Responder. This request will be considered by the Chair of the Committee. The Student Disciplinary Committee hearing can only be rescheduled once, and it must ideally be held within 10 working days of the original date proposed.

At the Student Disciplinary Committee hearing, the Student Responder (as the subject of the student hearing), will first be given the opportunity to answer questions and present their version of events surrounding the reported circumstances. After that, you (and any witnesses) will also be able to join the hearing and be given the opportunity to answer questions and, if appropriate, provide an additional statement for consideration by the Committee.

After consideration of all the evidence available to them, the Student Disciplinary Committee will make a decision on an appropriate outcome, including any sanctions for the Student Responder where misconduct is established. There is a defined list of possible sanctions that are appropriate at this level (see Appendix 1). These are also given under the five <u>protocols</u> that accompany this procedure.

You will be informed of the outcome of the Student Disciplinary Committee hearing, whether any precautionary actions (such as no-contact instructions) are to remain in place, and whether the Responder remains a registered student. You will also be given details of how to appeal any decisions you are dissatisfied with.

Risk assessment and precautionary measures

If the reported misconduct relates to behaviours that could pose a risk to the safety or security of any member of the University community, or to the University's reputation, a Risk Assessment will be carried out in line with the <u>Student Conduct Risk Assessment Procedure</u>. You may be asked about your personal circumstances as part of this process.

The information will be gathered by the Case Officer during the initial meetings with you and the Student

Responder and will be forwarded to a Risk Assessment panel who will decide whether any precautionary measures should be put in place to safeguard or support you or anyone else. Examples of precautionary measures include:

- Alerting the Student Health and Wellbeing Service to the reported misconduct to allow them to conduct wellbeing checks and offer support;
- Imposing no-contact instructions between the Student Responder and the Student Reporter (and any witnesses, if applicable);
- Temporarily suspending the Student Responder from the University, or from certain parts of the campus, while the investigation is ongoing.

Any precautionary measures imposed will be subject to regular review by the Risk Assessment Panel, and do not imply that the University has judged that the Student Responder has committed an act of misconduct.

You have the right to appeal against any precautionary measures imposed or to request a review of the Risk Assessment Plan. To do so, you should write to the Academic Registrar via casework@ncl.ac.uk to request a review of the Risk Assessment Plan.

What happens if the police/criminal justice system is involved?

If your report is also being investigated by the Police or the criminal justice system, the University may decide to put its internal investigation on hold until the external proceedings have reached a conclusion. Precautionary actions, such as no-contact instructions or temporary suspensions, may still be taken whilst a University case is on hold.

The University is not bound by the outcome of any police or criminal proceedings, but it may choose to take these into account when determining the outcome of the case.

Confidentiality

In line with the principles of natural justice, the Student Responder has the right to be made aware of any report and all available evidence against them. If your report or any accompanying evidence includes personal or sensitive information you do not wish to share with the Student Responder you can let us know and we will work with you to redact any relevant information, as appropriate.

The University will not normally investigate reports made about another student anonymously. However, if you wish to make a report in confidence, we can discuss whether it may be appropriate for your name and any other identifying details to be removed from your report before it is shared with the Student Responder. This will usually take place as part of the initial discussion and risk assessment exercise.

The Student Disciplinary Procedure is an internal and confidential process. It is important that you and any friend or supporter you choose to accompany you to meetings should respect this confidentiality and treat all information as confidential, especially with regard to the data of other people. Where confidentiality is breached, this may be treated as an act of misconduct.

Sometimes it is necessary to share details of the Disciplinary investigation with other University employees

so that appropriate processes can be followed and support can be offered to all affected parties. In these instances, case details will be limited and the information will be shared in confidence, in line with General Data Protection Regulations.

If, during the course of the investigation, any safeguarding issues are identified or if the University believes that you or someone else is at serious risk of harm, we have a legal duty to follow safeguarding procedures and refer the matter to the Student Health and Wellbeing Service in the first instance. We will normally inform you of this in advance of any referral being made.

Appeals

Both you and the Student Responder have the right to appeal. You may appeal against:

- Any interim precautionary measure or specific instruction;
- Any decision and/or sanction imposed upon the Student Responder.

Appeal requests must be submitted in writing to casework@ncl.ac.uk within 21 days of the date of the Decision Letter.

You should carefully consider your reasons for appeal so that you can explain why you are appealing.

For appeals against interim precautionary measures, your appeal must meet one or more of the following grounds:

- You consider that there has been a material procedural error or irregularity during the initial Risk Assessment process;
- You consider the precautionary actions to be disproportionate to the reported circumstances;
- Bias or prejudice on the part of the Risk Assessment Panel.

Appeals against interim precautionary measures will normally be considered by the Academic Registrar within 7 days of your request.

For appeals against disciplinary decisions or imposed sanctions, your appeal must meet one or more of the following grounds:

- New material evidence is available that was not previously reasonable available;
- Procedural irregularity;
- Bias or prejudice;
- Excessive or inappropriate sanction;
- The decision was one that no reasonable person/committee could have reached on the evidence available.

Appeals against disciplinary decisions or imposed sanctions will be considered by the University Disciplinary Convenor.

If the Disciplinary Convenor agrees that there are grounds for appeal, the appeal will be considered as follows:

Level 1 or 2 cases

The Disciplinary Convenor will appoint a member of the Disciplinary Panel who was not involved with the original case to consider your appeal. They may consider your appeal based on your written submission and copies of the relevant case documentation only, or they may call a Disciplinary Appeal Committee hearing if they believe this would give more appropriate consideration to the case.

Level 3 case

The appeal will be considered by a Disciplinary Appeal Committee. You may be invited to attend an appeal hearing or the Committee may decide to consider your appeal based on any written submission and copies of the relevant documentation alone. The Disciplinary Convenor will decide whether a full re-hearing is required or only a reconsideration of the sanction.

The arrangements for an appeal hearing are the same as for a Student Disciplinary Committee hearing, although with new Committee members. The decision of the appeal may confirm, change or remove the original disciplinary decision.

Where there has been a full re-hearing of the case, as if for the first time, the appeal options are still available for either the Student Reporter or Student Responder, as before.


Where the case has just reconsidered the sanctions imposed, or where the Disciplinary Convenor determines that the case be dismissed as there are no grounds to your appeal, the decision of the Disciplinary Convenor or Disciplinary Appeal Committee is final. At that point, the procedures of the University will have been exhausted and you will be issued with a Completion of Procedures letter.

What if you are not satisfied with the final outcome once all University procedures have been completed?

The Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education (OIA) runs an independent scheme to review student complaints. The University subscribes to this scheme. If you are dissatisfied with the outcome offered by the University, you can seek an external review by submitting a complaint via the OIA website.

Complaints to the OIA must be submitted within 12 months of the date of the Completion of Procedures letter.

Quick Guide 1: How will my disciplinary case be handled?



Appendix 1: Examples of misconduct and possible outcomes

Please also see the following investigation protocols for further specific examples of misconduct considered under this procedure:

- Anti-Social Behaviour and Noise Nuisance
- Dangerous Behaviours at Organised Student Socials (including dangerous initiations)
- Discrimination and Hate-Related Misconduct
- Safeguarding Issues Involving Drugs
- <u>Sexual Misconduct</u>

Example of misconduct	Indicative level of procedure	Possible Outcomes
Disruption or interference with University activities whether on University premises or elsewhere, including the illegal or obstructive occupation of University premises	Level 1	 No Further Action Advice & Guidance Given Misconduct Noted on Record Written warning
Intentional or reckless damage to the property of the University or members of its community.	Level 1	 No Further Action Advice & Guidance Given Misconduct Noted on Record Written warning
Misuse or unauthorised use of University premises, facilities or property.	Level 1	- No Further Action - Advice & Guidance Given - Misconduct Noted on Record - Written warning - Antisocial fines
Acts of dishonesty, including theft, deceit or deception.	Level 1 or 2	 No Further Action Advice & Guidance Given Misconduct Noted on Record Written warning Antisocial fines
Obstruction of, or improper or fraudulent interference with, attendance monitoring of any student by the University.	Level 1	 No Further Action Advice & Guidance Given Misconduct Noted on Record Written warning Antisocial fines
Using another student's smartcard to provide a false identity.	Level 1	 No Further Action Advice & Guidance Given Misconduct Noted on Record Written warning Antisocial fines

Failure to treat others fairly and with	Level 1 or 2**	- No Further Action	
•	Level 1 Ol 2		
respect. This applies to all communication		- Advice & Guidance G	
methods both online		- Misconduct Noted or	1
and offline.		Record	
		- Written warning	
		 Final warning (Level 2 	only)
		- Antisocial fines	
Behaviour which brings the University into	Level 1 or 2	 No Further Action 	
disrepute.		 Advice & Guidance G 	iven
		 Misconduct Noted or 	1
		Record	
		 Written warning 	
		 Final warning 	
		- Antisocial fines	
Failure to comply with a previously-	Level 2 or 3	- No Further Action	
imposed Disciplinary sanction, or failure to		- Advice & Guidance G	iven
cooperate with a University disciplinary		- Misconduct Noted or	1
investigation when requested to do so.		Record	
		- Written warning	
		- Antisocial fines	
		- Suspension	
		- Deferred expulsion	
		- Expulsion with immed	diate
		effect	aidte
Anti-social behaviour and/or noise	Level 2 or 3	- No Further Action	
nuisance, including noise disturbance in	Level 2 of 5	- Advice & Guidance G	iven
the local community.		- Misconduct Noted or	
the local community.		Record	1
		- Written warning	
		- Final warning	
		- Antisocial fines	
		- Suspension	
		- Deferred expulsion	
		- Expulsion with immed	diate
		effect	
Harassment of any student, employee, or	Level 2 or 3	- No Further Action	
visitor to the University. This includes		 Advice & Guidance G 	
bullying, hate-related incidents and		 Misconduct Noted or 	1
discrimination related to a person's actual		Record	
or perceived age, disability, gender, race		 Written warning 	
or ethnicity, religion or belief, pregnancy		- Final warning	
or maternity, sex,		- Antisocial fines	
sexual orientation, or socio-economic		- Suspension	
status.		 Deferred expulsion 	
		- Expulsion with immed	diate
see: Investigation Protocol for		effect	

Dissipation on Hata Dalatad		
Discrimination or Hate-Related		-
<u>Misconduct</u>		
The use of inappropriate behaviours or	Level 2 or 3	- No Further Action
language directed towards another		- Advice & Guidance Given
person. Examples include being violent,		- Misconduct Noted on
threatening, coercive, indecent, abusive,		Record
aggressive, humiliating, inconsiderate or		- Written warning
disrespectful whether in person or via		- Final warning
text, telephone, email or social media.		- Antisocial fines
		- Suspension
		- Deferred expulsion
		- Expulsion with immediate
		effect
Conduct which constitutes or may	Level 2 or 3	- No Further Action
constitute a criminal or unlawful offence.		- Advice & Guidance Given
		- Misconduct Noted on
		Record
		- Final warning
		- Antisocial fines
		- Suspension
		- Deferred expulsion
		- Expulsion with immediate
		effect
Safeguarding issues involving drugs: see:		-
Investigation Protocol for Safeguarding		
Issues involving Drugs		
Physical violence towards another person.	Level 2 or 3	- No Further Action
Priysical violence towards another person.	Level 2 01 3	
		- Advice & Guidance Given
		- Misconduct Noted on
		Record
		- Written warning
		- Final warning
		- Antisocial fines
		- Suspension
		- Deferred expulsion
		- Expulsion with immediate
		effect
Any sexual misconduct, including	Level 2 or 3	- No Further Action
harassment, stalking, assault, or violence.		- Advice & Guidance Given
		- Misconduct Noted on
		Record
		- Written warning
		- Final warning
		- Antisocial fines
		- Suspension
		- Deferred expulsion
	İ	Deterred expuision

	- Expulsion with immediate effect
--	-----------------------------------